

1893-002 Chancery Causes: A. J. Ely & wife vs. E. W. Madison & wife &  
Lee Co.

Turner

CA - Estate Dispute  
T - Property



To The Hon. H. S. K. Morrison  
Judge of the Circuit Court of  
Lee County Virginia:

Your Complainants A. J.  
Ely and Mary J. Ely his wife  
who humbly Complainings would  
respectfully represent that your  
female Complainant was the  
widow of one, Chad B. Turner  
late of this County now deceased  
and that she and her said hus-  
band deceased were the owners of  
a tract of land in this County  
near Permington Gap known as  
the Elijah Permington farm and  
consisted of about 145 acres. These  
lands were formerly owned by  
the heirs of one Turner of whom  
your female Complainant was  
one. She therefore owns in her  
own right one share 40 acres or  
thereabouts the residue was the prop-  
erty of her late husband and in  
which she has a closer interest.

The said Chad B. Turner died in-  
testate and his interest descended to  
his children his heirs at law 8  
in number, all infants and under  
the age of 21 years. Their names  
are George Turner, William Turner  
Dumme Turner, Susan Madison who  
is the wife of E. W. Madison, Anne  
Turner, Bettie Turner, Mary Turner  
and Lillie Turner, as above stated all are  
infants.



There is a small amount of debt to be paid for which said land is bound, but that can be arranged as each one can pay his or her share, except the smallest whose guardian can pay in the time required.

The object of this bill therefore is to have partition made thereof by first laying off & ascertaining the share due your female Complainant the land so held by her and the lands which descended to her & the said Charles children & then cut off this latter share to have her reasonable dower assigned to her cut the lands of theirs - and then that their lands may be divided & partitioned among them each as they may be entitled, and your Complainant would further show that your female Complainant has made a deed to her present husband for a part of said land, and that said partition may show his interest in the same, and that their shares be laid off in convenient form or all together, and that your female Complainant be assigned the mansion house which she now occupies and that her dower be so laid off as to fall equally on each of the heirs separate



shores.

To effect which they pray  
that George Turner, William  
Turner, Dennis Turner, E. W.  
Machison and Susan Machison his  
wife, Anne Turner, Bettie Turner  
Mary Turner and Lillie, Turner  
be made parties defendant to this  
bill, that a guardian and letter  
be appointed to answer and de-  
fend the said infant - and on  
the hearing said land be par-  
titioned according to the interest  
held by each as stated in this  
bill - And for all other fur-  
ther & general relief May  
your wisdom &c.

A. A. Pendleton

P. q.



Lobs recorded.

A. J. Ely wife

v. Bill Ely

E. W. Madison wife  
et al

1891 1st Nov Rules Bk  
filed Spa Gd + D. M.

" 2nd Nov Rules Bk Gd + D. M.  
filed L. W. Confd and  
D. M. vlt for hearing  
by Plaintiffs.

" Nov Term Decree for  
partition + cont'd

1892 March Rule + cont'd

" Aug Term cont'd

1893 March Decree

Find ORB 466.

C 15.42

G. A. L 5.00

S 6.50

W 15.00

Coins 33.00

Co C 4.74

\$79.66



To the Hon H. S. K Morrison  
Judge of the Circuit Court for  
Lee County Va.

The Deferate answer of George  
Turner, William Turner, Daniel  
Turner, Susan Morrison, Nerve  
Turner, Bettie Turner, Mary Turner  
and Lillie Turner, minor heirs  
of Leah B. Turner decd, by J. A. G.  
Byatt their Guardian ad litem  
to a Bill in Chancery filed in  
your Honors Court, by A. J.  
Ely & wife against these and  
other defendants.

For answer this respondent  
saith that he knows nothing  
of the truth or falsity of the alli-  
gations in Plaintiffs Bill  
Nor is his wards of sufficient  
age to advise as to their rights  
and interest but it seems nothing  
but just that partition of the  
land mentioned in the bill  
believing that infants rights  
are better protected separated  
from others.

Respectfully Submitted  
J. A. G. Byatt & A. L.



A. J. Eely & wife  
in And. G. L.

8 res 3

E. W. Madsen et al

Filed 2 Nov Rules

1891.

J. A. S. Hyatt



A. J. Ely and wife  
against

E. W. Madisen & wife

This Cause  
Came on again this day to be  
heard upon the papers formerly  
read, and the report of John P.  
Graham James K. P. Kelly and Wm. S.  
G. Barron filed Oct-25-1892 with  
accompanying plat of partition  
to which there are no exceptions -  
On consideration of which and  
for reasons appearing, <sup>the court</sup> said report  
and accompanying plat are each  
confirmed and made final, and  
Mary Ely will take and hold  
the lot and dower interest assigned  
her as shown by said report and  
plat the lot free from the claims  
of the others, and the dower interest for  
and during her natural life, and  
if she has sold the same then her  
vendee is to hold in her room and  
stead, and each of the parties are  
decreed to take and hold each the  
lot so assigned him or her as  
shown by said plat & report, and  
it is adjudged & ordered that the peff



recover from the defendant  
the costs of this suit to be paid  
equally by them <sup>except as hereafter provided</sup> to her, and the Clerk  
will so tax the same and enter it on  
the execution should one issue, but  
only one execution, <sup>at all times</sup> shall issue and  
that to be against all the defend-  
ants, and for the partition of the  
land outside the closer the plaintiff  
her vendee ~~to~~ shall pay her part  
also of said costs, and these costs  
are decreed to be a lien upon each  
lot until paid.

And the Clerk of this Court will  
transmit to the Clerk of the County  
Court the decree in this cause making  
particular; said report and plat of  
partition, and this decree, and the Clerk  
of the County Court will record the  
same in the book kept by him  
for the recording of deeds, <sup>and index of deeds.</sup> and return  
the same to the Clerk of this Court  
together with his legal fees and  
the Clerk of this Court will tax  
such fees as a part of the  
costs of this suit, <sup>as above directed</sup> and no  
further action being necessary the



Cause is Stricken from the docket  
with leave to any interested party  
in six months <sup>hereafter</sup> to reinstate its same  
for its purposes of enforcing this  
decree.

Virginia Lee County to wit:

In the office of the Clerk of the  
said county the 23<sup>rd</sup> Day of Nov-1893  
the foregoing Plat and report together  
with the Decree therewith of the partition  
of lands of A J Lee Deceased was  
this Day filed in this office and ad-  
mitted to record.

Teste S. V. F. Richmond  
Clerk



A. J. Elyowife

vs { Decree

Final

E. W. Madsen vs

March 7. 1898

Entered Chy

Q. B. page

466 March 11/1898

J. A. S. Hyatt

Recorded Deed Book

No 29 Page 470

Enter this

March 14<sup>th</sup> 1898

H. S. M.



A. J. Ely & wife — Off }  
Against }  
E. W. Monroal & wife et al } Duch

This  
Cause came on again this day  
to be heard upon the papers  
formerly read & was argued by  
Counsel - and it appearing to  
the Court that the Clerks heretofore  
appointed to make partition of  
the lands in the bill mentioned  
have not performed the duties  
heretofore assigned them under a  
former decree - The said Clerks, John  
P. Graham, J. K. Kelly and Gall  
Barron are each required to  
appear before this Court on  
the first day of the next term  
of this Court and show Cause  
if any they have, why they  
shall not be fined for their  
said Contempt and this Court in  
not heretofore performing the  
duties required of them viz: making  
the partition of the lands in the  
bill mentioned. And the cause is  
continued.



A. J. Elworthy

283 Decret  
Rule.

E. W. Monroeville

June 1. 1892

Entered May 03

page 392 June

5-1892

Of 1st 2

Enter this  
June 5. 1892

H. K. M.



A. J. Elyouise - - - Offs. }  
 against } br Chy.  
 E. W. Monroe wife def- }  
 This

Cause, came on this day to  
 be heard upon the bill of the  
 plff, and answer of George Turner  
 William Turner, Daniel Turner  
 Aerva Turner, Bettie Turner, Mary  
 Turner Lillie Turner and Susan  
 Maxine wife of E. W. Monroe  
 infant defendants by John A. G.  
 Hyatt Their guardian ad  
 litem and process duly served  
 on the adult defendants; and  
 was argued by Counsel - on  
 Consideration whereof and for  
 reasons appearing to the Court  
 It is adjudged ordered and  
 decreed that J. P. Graham  
 Gale Barron and J. K. O. Kelly  
 be and they are hereby appoint-  
 ed special Commissioners whose  
 duty it shall be to go upon  
 the land in the bill mentioned and  
 first ascertain and lay off the  
 lot due the female plff in her  
 own right as heretofore assigned



if such assignment has been made, if not they will lay off & assign the same, they will then cut-off the residue lay off as & for the female plffs dower one third in rental value of the residue - and then make equal partition of the same among those entitled, so dividing the same as that each but embrace its due part of the land covered by the dower -

They will make a plat of the division made by them and report the same to this Court now it being suggested that the plffs have sold their interest to ~~Anderson~~ <sup>Wm. Ely</sup> and convey the same to him said Court will ascertain that fact & if so conveyed they will assign to him the plff's interest therein. Now the case is continued.

A. S. Ely overle

W. J. Deere

E. W. Madison of al

Dec. 7, 1891

Wm. Ely  
1000  
1000

2 Dred Book 29 Page 64

S. F. Richardson  
Ch. Secy

Enter this

Dec. 4 1891

H. J. Wm







Chas. B. - wife

no. 1000 of 1000

Geo. W. - wife

Geo. W. - wife

Executed Dec  
28<sup>th</sup> 1891 by  
Delivering an  
office copy to  
Gale Barrow  
W. H. P. Kelly  
+ Patton Graham  
L. M. Wade Dep't  
for C. E. Tennyson  
S. G. C.

For C. E. Tennyson \$1.08



Page 1

To the Honorable Morrison  
 Judge of the Circuit Court of Lee County  
 we the undersigned commissioners Pursuant  
 to your order made December 4<sup>th</sup> 1891 and  
 to us directed went upon the lands of C. D.  
 Turner deceased on Thursday the 6<sup>th</sup> day of  
 October 1892 and proceeded to survey lay off  
 and assign said lands to the several par-  
 ties entitled thereto and now beg leave to  
 report we first surveyed the river or  
 Bottom land south of the Public Road  
 We assign to George Turner 4 acres lot No 1  
 River bottom and bounded as follows, <sup>beginning</sup> at a stake  
 in the head of the spring near the ~~the~~ index  
 and thence N 61 4 W 1 4 Poles to stake near the  
 corner of the Stringhouse S 20 1/2 E 8 1/2 Poles to 3  
 near the corner of a old stable S 12 1/2 E 11 3/4 to a  
 double cedar then on same angle 3 Poles to a stake  
 at the river then up the river 8 Poles to a  
 scrubby sycamore then N 12 1/2 E 11 3/4 Poles to stake  
 at 7 on a line of the river and with line of same <sup>the beginning</sup> to  
 We assign lot no 2 to William Turner beginning  
 at 7 corner first lot S 12 1/2 west 11 3/4 Poles to  
 scrubby sycamore at the river corner to first lot  
 then up the river 9 1/2 Poles to a sycamore bush  
 N 12 1/2 E 10 7 Poles to stake on a line of the  
 river at 8 thence S 69 1/2 E 7 Poles to corner to first  
 lot this lot contains 4 acres

Geo. Turner

Wm Turner  
4 acres



~~Elizabeth~~

~~to Susan Madison~~

Lot no 3

We assign Lot no 3 to Susan Madison containing 4 acres Beginning at 8 corner to Wm Turner and with his line S  $12\frac{1}{4}^{\circ}$  W 107 Poles to a sycamore bush at the river then up the river 12 Poles to a double water birch then N  $12^{\circ}$  E 98 Poles to stake on lower line and with it to the Beginning S  $69\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$  E 7 Poles to 8

Elizabeth Middleton

Lot no 4

We assign Lot no <sup>4</sup> 4 2 acres to Elizabeth Middleton Beginning at 9 corner to Susan Madison and with line of same S  $12\frac{1}{4}^{\circ}$  W 98 Poles to a double water birch at the river then up the river 14 Poles to stake and double Holly and White Oak marked as pointers the stake stands between Holly & Oak and is the corner N  $12\frac{1}{4}^{\circ}$  E 87 Poles to stake on line of Dover then S  $69\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$  E  $7\frac{3}{4}$  Poles to the Beginning

Minerva Turner

Lot no 5  
5 1/2 acres

We assign Lot no 5 to Minerva Turner begin at 10 a corner to Elizabeth Middleton and with her line S  $12\frac{1}{4}^{\circ}$  W 87 Poles to stake Holly & white Oak at the river then up the river 12 Poles to a small Hickory bush near a persimmon marked as a pointer then crossing an island N  $12\frac{1}{4}^{\circ}$  E 80 Poles to a stake on the Dover line and with same S  $69\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$  E 8 Poles to the Beginning at 10

James L. Turner

Lot no 6-6 1/2 acres

We assign Lot no 6 containing 6 1/2 acres to James L. Turner Beginning at 11 corner to Minerva Turner and with her line S  $12\frac{1}{4}^{\circ}$



5<sup>th</sup> Page

then up the river 2 Poles to a dogwood  
corner to J. K. P. Kellys land and with line  
of the same N 74 $\frac{1}{2}$  E 27 $\frac{1}{2}$  Poles to two black  
oak stumps on a steep hillside N 23 $\frac{3}{4}$  E  
25 Poles to a rock ~~near two large oaks~~  
~~N 43 $\frac{1}{2}$  E 36 Poles to a rock near two large oaks~~  
~~stumps~~ N 12 $\frac{1}{2}$  W 33 Poles to two  
chestnuts from one root - then leaving  
Kellys line S 11 $\frac{1}{2}$  E 88 Poles by flat to a stake  
on a hillside S 81 $\frac{1}{2}$  E 7 $\frac{1}{2}$  Poles to an apple tree  
S 16 $\frac{1}{2}$  E 18 $\frac{1}{2}$  Poles to a stake on a line  
dividing the mountain part of the farm from  
the river bottom then with same S 65 $\frac{1}{2}$  W  
7 Poles to stake opposite the Turner gate so  
often mentioned N 24 $\frac{1}{2}$  W 18 Poles to stake in bend  
of the road N 73 $\frac{1}{2}$  W 10 Poles to stake in north  
edge of said road N 84 $\frac{1}{2}$  W 10 Poles to stake a  
corner to the lot in the widows own right S  
39 E 30 $\frac{1}{2}$  Poles to stake at the corner of  
the Springhouse reference on diagram  
the lots last described on either side of  
the line with cross marks on it & D.D. D.D.  
We next assign 8 acres the most eastern  
lot to George Turner marked on the  
diagram No 1.a and bounded as follows to  
wit beginning at the south east corner  
of said lot on a stake at the edge of a rock  
corner to Ephraim Turners land and with

Geo. Turner  
8 acres



6 Page

a line of the same N 10<sup>4</sup> W 165 Poles to a black ~~jack~~ <sup>hooking</sup> ~~line~~ on the top of the mountain then with the top of the mountain westward to Stake S 10<sup>4</sup> E 165 Poles to Stake in the north edge of the road N 66<sup>4</sup> E 8 Poles to the Beginning & to.

Wm Turner  
lot 700 2-8 acres

We next assigned lot no 2 and marked to Wm Turner to said William Turner Beginning at corner to first lot a stake ran with line of first lot N 10<sup>4</sup> W 165 Poles to Stake on the top of the mountain then westwardly 8 Poles to Stake then S 10<sup>4</sup> E 165 Poles to Stake in the north edge of the road S 52<sup>4</sup> E 3 Poles to Stake to Stake N 66<sup>4</sup> E 5 Poles to the Beginning

Mary L Turner  
lot 701 8 acres

We next assigned lot no 3 containing 8 acres to Mary L. Turner and bounded as follows to wit Beginning at corner to the former lot on a stake N 10<sup>4</sup> W 165 Poles to Stake on the top of the mountain and on the top of said mountain westward 8 Poles to Stake then S 10<sup>4</sup> E 165 Poles to Stake at W N 86<sup>4</sup> E 8 Poles to the beginning

Elizabeth Middleton  
+ lot 8 acres

We next assign lot no 4 to Elizabeth Middleton formerly E. Turner Beginning at N 10<sup>4</sup> W 165 Poles to a Stake on the top of the mountain then along the top of the same westward 5 Poles to <sup>Point being top of mountain at 30 W 5<sup>4</sup></sup> ~~hooking~~ <sup>Stake</sup> then S 10<sup>4</sup> E 165 Poles to Stake at the road N 61<sup>4</sup> E 8 Poles to the beginning



Page 3

W 12<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> to 8<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> Poles to a small Hickory bush  
near a persimmon marked as a pointer then  
up the river 14<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> Poles to a stake N 12<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> E 75<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>  
Poles to Stake on line of Dover and with same  
S 12<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> E 124 Poles to 11 the Beginning

Mary L. Turner He assign Lot No 7 containing 20 60 acres  
Lot No 7-62 acres to Mary L. Turner Beginning at 12 corner  
to James S. Turners Lot 12 with line of  
same S 12<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> W 75<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> Poles to stake at the river  
then up the river with meanders 89 Poles to  
the publick road at the foot of the river opposite  
Horn Benningtons dwelling to a forked or double white  
Birch on a sand bar of the river S 69<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> E with  
a line of the Dover 29 Poles to 12 the Beginning  
you will observe that the Publick road is  
shown on the plat separating the Dover tract  
from that known as the mountain part

Then

J. D. Pennington He assign to James D. Pennington  
about 12 acres  
in the 700 lot  
S. 400  
in Lieu of the widow Mary J. Turner  
Mary J. Ely as she is now called in her  
own right the two lots marked B. B. and  
containing twelve acres and bounded as  
follows to wit Beginning at a stake on  
the head of the spring corner to George  
Turners Lot N 61<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> W 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> to stake at corner of the  
stringhouse S 20<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> W 82 Poles to stake near the  
south west corner of a stable S 8<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> E 20 Poles



to a stake S  $44^{\circ}$  E 53  $\frac{1}{2}$  Poles to a stake N  $65^{\circ}$  E  
 25  $\frac{2}{3}$  Poles to a stake on a line of Ephraim  
 Turner lot on with line of same N  $14^{\circ}$  E 66  $\frac{1}{3}$   
 Poles to stake in public road then along said road  
 S  $65^{\circ}$  W 20 Poles to a stake opposite a gate opposite  
 a bend in the road N  $24^{\circ}$  W 18 Poles to a stake  
 in the north edge of the public road N  $73^{\circ}$  W  
 10 Poles to stake in said road N  $84^{\circ}$  W 11 Poles  
 to a stake in road then S  $8^{\circ}$  E 30  $\frac{1}{2}$  Poles to  
 stake in the head of the spring the last  
 described lot is intended to represent  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the  
 land in value as and for the widow in her  
 own right, we now assign the following  
 described tract partly River bottom land and  
 part mountain land see Diagram D.D. D.D.,

J. D. Pennington we next assign as a dower interest to  
 Dower interest James D. Pennington as and for Mary  
 J. Ely formerly Mary J. Turner the  
 Described lots or tracts of Land containing  
 of Bottom Land 2 acres and mountain  
 Land 2 acres and bounded as follows to wit  
 Beginning at Stake opposite the widow Turner  
 gate at X S  $67^{\circ}$  W 9 Poles to stake in the head  
 of the spring dividing same N  $61^{\circ}$  W 1  $\frac{1}{2}$  Poles at corner  
 of spring house N  $69^{\circ}$  W 77 Poles to water birch  
 on a sand bar a little north of ford of the  
 river opposite Mr. Pennington's dwelling then



Page 7

Minerva Turner  
Lot No 5- 8 acres

We next assign Lot No 5 to Minerva Turner and bounded as follows to wit Beginning at N. corner to the last described Lot N 10° W 162 Poles to stake on a line of Kellys land and with it S 30° W 152 Poles to stake at the road N 66° 42' E 8 1/2 Poles to stake at the Beginning

James D Turner  
Lot No 6- 8 acres

We next assign Lot no 6 to James D Turner and bounded as follows to wit Beginning at stake at the road marked N 10° W 150 Poles to stake on Kellys line and with it S 30° W 16 1/2 Poles to 140 a stake then S 10° E 140 Poles to a stake at E N 66° 42' E 8 1/2 Poles to the beginning

Susan Madison  
Lot No 7- 8 acres

We next assign Lot No 7 to Susan Madison Beginning at stake at E N 10° W 140 Poles to stake on Kellys line and with it S 30° W 14 Poles to stake then S 10° E 133 Poles to Rock corner to the James D Pennington lot laid off as and for the widow or Mary J Ely in her own right then N 63 1/2° E 9 Poles to the beginning

Let me add that we thought it wholly impracticable with all the surroundings to do justice to all to say of the widows dower crossing it with the childrens interests interested, therefore we left it undone.

The lines up and down <sup>the mountain</sup> were made by protraction and not actually measured all the way up to the top



198

Bill of expenses To John P. Graham lawyer  
and commiss over 6 days at two dollars fifty 5.50

to J. K. Kelly comm + 2 days at \$2.00 <sup>\$2.00</sup> 9.00  
to W. H. <sup>4</sup>Barrow Comm 4k " at \$2.00. 9.00  
\$33.50

We now ask your honor that  
the rule entered against us be ~~removed~~ <sup>dismissed</sup>.  
~~may~~ with other causes for not  
performing the duties imposed upon  
us was first that John P. Graham had  
difficulty to find time aside from his  
Public duties as Treasurer of this Co  
to perform said duties, second cause  
was that Henderson City at whose instance  
the partition was ordered afterward sold out  
his interest and told me I need not trouble  
myself further Third reason was that  
A. L. Bridemore one of the beneficiaries  
in this cause and the parties in whose name  
the partition was gotten up as we thought was  
not responsible for in condition to make our  
fees and A. L. Bridemore refusing to see us paid

we refused to work till John P. Graham  
some person did James K. P. Kelly.  
who was responsible W. H. G. Barrow.  
Submitted OCT 15<sup>th</sup> 1892 Commissioners



~~Mr. J. H. K.~~  
to occur  
on the Report of  
E. W. Morrison

Filed Oct 29/12  
S. A. K. 1000

Recorded Deed Book  
No 29 Page 465  
Sam Richards  
C.C.

Fee \$33.00



Virginia <sup>Sta</sup> circuit court continued  
and held for Lee County at date June 8<sup>th</sup> 1892

A. J. Ely & Wife

Plffs

vs  
DuChoy

E. W. Monroe & Wife et al Defts

This cause came on this day to be heard upon the papers formerly read and was argued by the counsel and it appearing to the court that the commissioners heretofore appointed to make partition of the lands in the bill mentioned have not performed the duties heretofore assigned them under a former decree. The said Comrs John P. Graham, J. K. P. Kelley, and Gale Barron are each required to appear before this court on the first day of the next term of this court and show cause, if any they have, why they shall not be fined for their said contempt of this court in not heretofore performing the duties required of them viz: making the lands in the bill mentioned. And the cause is continued.

A Copy

Teste

J. A. S. Hyatt c



A. J. Ely & Wife  
vs.  $\frac{3}{3}$  Rule

Ell. Monrow & wife et al

To 3<sup>rd</sup> day Nov. 1. 1892.

Executed by delivering  
an office copy, to J. P.

Graham this July 28. 92

J. A. Underwriter,  
Deft for C. E. Flanary  
S. L. C.

Further  
Executed by  
delivering an office  
copy to Gale Barron  
and J. H. J.

Kelly this July  
the 14<sup>th</sup> 1892

L. M. Wade Deft  
for C. E. Flanary  
S. L. C.



# The Commonwealth of Virginia.

To The Sheriff Of Lee County Greeting:

We Command You to Summon

*J. E. W. Madison &*  
*Brian Madison his wife George*  
*Turner, William Turner & Fannie Turner*  
*Verne Turner & Bettie Turner*  
*Mary Turner & Lillie Turner*

To appear at the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Lee County, at the Courthouse on the first Monday  
in *November* next, being rule day to answer a bill in Chancery exhibited in our said Court  
against *them* by *A. J. Ely*

*and Mary J. Ely*

And have then and there this writ. Witness, J. A. G. Hyatt, Clerk of said Court at the Courthouse.

This *15<sup>th</sup>* day of *October* 18*91*, in the 11*6* year of the Commonwealth.

*J. A. G. Hyatt* Clerk.

A Copy Teste



Executed Oct  
1891 by declaring  
officer copies  
of this Spa  
in chey to  
E. M. Madison  
Susan Madison  
His wife Georg  
Turner William  
Turner Lannie  
Turner Mary  
Turner Bettie  
Turner  
L. M. Wade  
Deputy for  
C. E. Flannery  
S. L. C.

19  
A. J. Ely wife  
3  
3  
3  
us 3  
Shamchoy

E. M. Madison

Lo 1st Nov. Rules 1891.

~~Executed Oct 22 1891  
by Burns the  
within witnesses  
in full~~

~~L. M. Wade  
Deputy for  
C. E. Flannery  
S. L. C.~~

See other  
side